

OPD 1025
10-15-12
46

MOORE Fredrick

From: SCHWARZ Bob
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 1:37 PM
To: MOORE Fredrick
Subject: FW: Lockheed Martin - pilot studies

From: SCHWARZ Bob
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2004 11:53 AM
To: 'Reisman.David@epamail.epa.gov'
Cc: 'Meyer.Linda@epamail.epa.gov'; KIRK Marcy; MOORE Fredrick; 'Ivy.Kathy@epamail.epa.gov'
Subject: RE: Lockheed Martin - pilot studies

David,
We can certainly wait until Carolyn has completed her review and then discuss all the EPA comments. We intend to have Arcadis conduct the leachate characterization before and during the C02 injection pilot study, as you recommend. Otherwise, we have decided to allow them to proceed with the pilot studies as already agreed to. I should point out that the RCRA landfill only produces on the order of 100 gallons/month of leachate, so even if the addition of C02 were to mobilize contaminants that otherwise do not go into solution, we do not believe the pilot study would cause significant adverse impacts. We will certainly have Arcadis address all of the EPA comments before we consider long-term application of any of these activities.

The field work for the pilot studies is scheduled for the week of August 30. Results from these studies would also be useful for future discussions. We will provide you with a copy. Thank you again for your help.
Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: Reisman.David@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Reisman.David@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 9:05 PM
To: SCHWARZ Bob
Cc: nehringk@battelle.org; acheson.carolyn@epamail.epa.gov; reisman.david@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Lockheed Martin - pilot studies

Hi Bob,

Thank you for the compliment. I am in Philly, and let me add a suggestion. One internal reviewer had nothing to add to the excellent comments that I received from our reliable contractor. I am cc'ing Carolyn to see the below material. I would like to wait until I get back next week and see where Carolyn is on her review. Maybe we can touch base and schedule for later next week with both reviewers and let me arrange for a conference line? Plus my notes and file are in my office.

David

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services.

----- Original Message -----

From: SCHWARZ Bob [SCHWARZ.Bob@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: 08/17/2004 07:50 PM
To: David Reisman
Subject: RE: Lockheed Martin - pilot studies

David,

Thank you very much for the thorough comments provided by your contractor. May we discuss them with the author? If so, please provide contact information for that person. We look forward to the other comments from your in-house reviewers.

Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: Reisman.David@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Reisman.David@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2004 2:10 PM

To: SCHWARZ Bob

Cc: Ivy.Kathy@epamail.epa.gov; KIRK Marcy; Meyer.Linda@epamail.epa.gov; MOORE Fredrick; SCHWARZ Bob

Subject: RE: Lockheed Martin - pilot studies

Hi Bob et al.,

As promised, attached in WORD format is our contractor's review of the proposal and your office's comments on the Arcadis proposal. Some of the comments are exactly what we discussed in our conversation in July from my hotel in Vermont. I apologize for the delay, but we are in the summer season and reviews are mixed with site activities and meetings. There are also 2 in-house reviewers that are looking at parts of the proposal, and their reviews shall be sent separately.

I hope this review and our discussions have benefited you. Should you desire discussions on the comments, please let me know. I am also scheduled to be in Portland in November to present at the SETAC meeting, so we can meet and discuss the site, etc. at that time also.

david

David J. Reisman, Director
ORD Engineering Technical Support Center MLK-489
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268
513-487-2588 FAX 513-487-2533

(See attached file: 10LM.arcadis.proposal.doc) << File: 10LM.arcadis.proposal.doc >>